arXiv:1207.4703v1 [math.NT] 19 Jul 2012

ON THE CHOW GROUPS OF CERTAIN GEOMETRICALLY RATIONAL 5-FOLDS

AMBRUS PÁL

ABSTRACT. We give an explicit regular model for the quadric fibration studied in [9]. As an application we show that this construction furnishes a counterexample for the integral Tate conjecture in any odd characteristic for some sufficiently large finite field. We study the étale cohomology of this regular model, and as a consequence we derive that these counterexamples are not torsion.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be a field and let x, y, z be the homogeneous coordinates for $\mathbb{P}^2_k$. Let H be the set of linear forms

$$h = e_x x + e_y y + e_z z$$

where $e_x, e_y, e_z \in \{0, 1\}$. Let

$$l_i = b_i x + c_i y + d_i z, \quad b_i, c_i, d_i \in k$$

be linear forms, too, where $i = 1, 2$. Assume that the characteristic of k is not two. Let $a \in k^* - (k^*)^2$ and let Q be the smooth, projective quadric over the field $F = k(x, y)$ defined by the homogeneous equation:

$$x_0^2 - a x_1^2 - f x_2^2 + a f x_3^2 - g_1 g_2 x_4^2 = 0$$

in $\mathbb{P}^4_F$, where

$$f = \frac{x}{y}, \quad g_1 = \frac{\prod_{h \in H}(l_1 + h)}{y^8}, \quad g_2 = \frac{\prod_{h \in H}(l_2 + h)}{z^8}.$$

Recall that we say that a set S of lines in $\mathbb{P}^2_k$ is in general position if the intersection of any three elements of S is empty. Let L be the set:

$$L = \{x\} \cup \{y\} \cup \{l_1 + h | h \in H\} \cup \{l_2 + h | h \in H\}.$$

Our first result is the following

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that L is in general position. Then there is a projective smooth variety X defined over k which has a fibration over $\mathbb{P}^2_k$ whose generic fibre is the quadric Q.*

The assumption is not very restrictive; we will show that there is a choice of l_1 and l_2 such that L is in general position when the cardinality of k is sufficiently large (see Proposition 2.2). The quadric Q has a $k(\sqrt{a})$-rational point, and hence it is $k(\sqrt{a}, x, y)$-rational. Therefore X is rational over $k(\sqrt{a})$.

---

[1] *2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14M20, 14C15, 14G13.

Date: February 22, 2012.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that k is a finite field. Also suppose that L is in general position and $b_i, c_i, d_i \neq 0, -1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then both the natural forgetful map:*

$$CH^2(X) \to CH^2(X \times_k \overline{k})^{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)} \tag{1.2.1}$$

and the cycle class map:

$$CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \to H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}_2(2)) \tag{1.2.2}$$

are not surjective.

This theorem is an improvement of the main result of the paper [9] in two ways. First we construct a geometrically rational smooth projective variety such that the map in (1.2.1) is not surjective in any odd characteristic for some sufficiently large finite field. Moreover we also show that these varieties are counterexamples to the integral Tate conjecture, too. However the result above leaves the following question unanswered: are the cohomology classes in $H^4(X \times_k \overline{k}, \mathbb{Z}_2(2))^{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)}$ which are not algebraic non-torsion, too? Previous counterexamples to the integral Tate conjecture (see [6] and [12]) were all torsion. However we will show that

Theorem 1.3. *The cohomology group $H^3(X \times_k \overline{k}, \mathbb{Z}_2(2))$ is trivial and the cohomology group $H^4(X \times_k \overline{k}, \mathbb{Z}_2(2))$ has no torsion.*

As the Hochshild–Serre spectral sequence $H^i(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k), H^j(X \times_k \overline{k}, \mathbb{Z}_2(2))) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(X, \mathbb{Z}_2(2))$ furnishes a short exact sequence:

$$H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k), H^3(X \times_k \overline{k}, \mathbb{Z}_2(2))) \to H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \to H^4(X \times_k \overline{k}, \mathbb{Z}_2(2))^{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)},$$

we immediately get the following

Corollary 1.4. *The cycle class map:*

$$CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \to H^4(X \times_k \overline{k}, \mathbb{Z}_2(2))^{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)} \tag{1.4.1}$$

is not surjective and hence there are non-algebraic classes in the torsion-free group $H^4(X \times_k \overline{k}, \mathbb{Z}_2(2))^{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)}$.

The study of such quadratic fibrations has a venerable history (see [2] and [4]). It is important to note that the properties in Theorem 1.3 are particular to these geometrically rational 5-folds. In fact in the last section we will show that there are smooth 5-dimensional projective rational varieties over every algebraically closed field of odd characteristic which have 2-torsion in its fourth dimensional étale cohomology (Proposition 6.7).

Contents 1.5. In the next section we show that there is a choice of l_1 and l_2 such that L is in general position when the cardinality of k is sufficiently large. In the third section we use unramified cohomology to prove Theorem 1.2, assuming the existence of a regular model. We construct such a model by writing down a quadratic fibration Y over $\mathbb{P}^2_k$ whose generic fibre is Q and by explicitly computing the blow-up of its singularities in the fourth section. Next we compute the étale cohomology of the quadratic threefolds which appear as fibres of Y using rather standard tools. In the last section we prove Theorem 1.3 by a repeated application of the Leray-Serre spectral sequence, exploiting that the exceptional divisors of the blow-ups are quadratic hypersurfaces or families of quadratic hypersurfaces over projective lines.

Acknowledgement 1.6. I wish to thank Alena Pirutka for suggesting to use the results of [7] to show the finiteness of $H^3_{nr}(k(X)/k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ in Theorem 3.3, and for calling my attention to the fact that this result with Theorem 2.1 of [3] implies the second half of Theorem 1.2. I also wish to thank Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène for some useful correspondence about this article.

2. Lines in general position

In all that follows we will denote linear forms and the lines defined by them by the same symbol, by slight abuse of notation.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that k has odd characteristics. Then there is a non-empty Zariski-open set $U \subset \mathbb{A}^3_k \times \mathbb{A}^3_k$ such that for every closed point (l_1, l_2) of U the set*

$$L = \{x\} \cup \{y\} \cup \{l_1 + h | h \in H\} \cup \{l_2 + h | h \in H\}$$

is in general position.

Proof. First we are going to prove the following similar claim. Let R be three pair-wise non-propositional linear forms and let $T \subset R$ be a non-empty subset. Then there is a non-empty Zariski-open set $V \subset \mathbb{A}^3_k$ such that for every closed point l of V the set

$$R' = \{l + h | h \in T\} \cup R - T$$

is in general position. Since being in general position is an open condition, there is nothing to prove if R is already in general position. Hence we may assume that the elements of R intersect in one point. After a projective transformation we may assume that $R = \{x, y, x + y\}$ and T is either $\{x\}, \{x, y\}$ or R itself. Let l be the linear form z; in all three cases R' is in general position with this choice. (Here we use that k has odd characteristics). Since being in general position is an open condition, the claim is now clear.

Now let us return to the proof of the claim in the lemma. Because being in general position is an open condition, and $\mathbb{A}^3_k \times \mathbb{A}^3_k$ is irreducible, it will be enough to show that for every three element subset $R \subset S$ the set of $(l_1, l_2) \in \overline{k}^3 \times \overline{k}^3$ such that R is in general position is non-empty. If either $R \cap \{l_1 + h | h \in H\}$ or $R \cap \{l_2 + h | h \in H\}$ is empty then this claim follows immediately from the claim above. Otherwise R is of the form $l, l_1 + h, l_2 + h'$ for some $h, h' \in H$. There is a choice of l_2 such that the linear forms $l, l_1 + h, l_2 + h'$ are pair-wise non-propositional. Fix such an l_2; then there is a choice of l_1 such that R is in general position by the claim proved above. □

Let $U \subset \mathbb{A}^3_k \times \mathbb{A}^3_k$ denote the largest non-empty Zariski-open set such that every closed point (l_1, l_2) of U the set

$$L = \{x\} \cup \{y\} \cup \{l_1 + h | h \in H\} \cup \{l_2 + h | h \in H\}$$

is in general position.

Proposition 2.2. *There is a natural number C such that for every field k whose cardinality is at least C the set:*

$$W = U(k) \cap \{\big((b_1, c_1, d_1), (b_2, c_2, d_2)\big) \in k^3 \times k^3 | b_i, c_i, d_i \neq 0, -1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2\}$$

is non-empty.

Proof. The claim is obvious when k is infinite, so we may assume that k is finite. Note that given three linear forms:

$$f_j = p_j x + q_j y + e_j z, \quad p_i, q_i, r_i \in k, j = 1, 2, 3,$$

the set $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ is in general position if and only if the determinant:

$$\begin{vmatrix} p_1 & q_1 & r_1 \\ p_2 & q_2 & r_2 \\ p_3 & q_3 & r_3 \end{vmatrix}$$

is non-zero. Therefore U is the complement of $\binom{18}{3} = 816$ hypersurfaces of degree 3 in $\mathbb{A}^6_k$ and 64 points. So by Lemma 2.3 below

$$|W| \geq |k|^6 - 64 - 816 \cdot 18|k|^5.$$

The claim is now clear. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $H \subset \mathbb{A}^n_k$ be hypersurface of degree d. Then*

$$|H(k)| \leq \min(|k|^n, dn|k|^{n-1}).$$

Proof. Without the loss of generality we may assume that $dn < |k|$. We are going to prove the claim by induction on n. The case $n = 1$ is obvious. Assume now that the claim holds for $n-1$ and let x be a coordinate function on $\mathbb{A}^n_k$. For every $c \in k$ let $H_c = H \cap \{x = c\}$. Then either $H_c \subset \mathbb{A}^n_k$ is a hypersurface of degree d and hence

$$|H_c(k)| \leq \min(|k|^{n-1}, d(n-1)|k|^{n-2}) = d(n-1)|k|^{n-2},$$

by the induction hypothesis or H_c is the hyperplane $\{x = c\}$. This is only possible for at most d values of c and hence

$$|H(k)| = \sum_{c \in k} |H_c(k)| \leq d|k|^{n-1} + |k|d(n-1)|k|^{n-2} = dn|k|^{n-1}.$$

$\square$

3. Unramified cohomology

Definition 3.1. Let X be a smooth, projective variety defined over a field F. Let $F(X)$ and $X(d)$ denote the function field of X and the set of points of X of codimension d, respectively. For every $x \in X(1)$ let $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ and F_x denote the discrete valuation ring in $F(X)$ corresponding to x and the residue field of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$, respectively. Let i, j, n be natural numbers and assume that $\mathrm{char}(F) \not| n$. The unramified cohomology group $H^i_{nr}(F(X)/F, \mu_n^{\otimes j})$ of X over F is by definition:

$$H^i_{nr}(F(X)/F, \mu_n^{\otimes j}) = \mathrm{Ker}\big(H^i(F(X), \mu_n^{\otimes j}) \xrightarrow{\oplus_{x \in X(1)} \partial_x} \bigoplus_{x \in X(1)} H^{i-1}(F_x, \mu_n^{\otimes(j-1)})\big)$$

where x runs through the codimension 1 points of X and

$$\partial_x : H^i(F(X), \mu_n^{\otimes j}) \longrightarrow H^{i-1}(F_x, \mu_n^{\otimes(j-1)})$$

is the residue map associated to the discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. Note that the image of the restriction map

$$H^i(F, \mu_n^{\otimes j}) \longrightarrow H^i(F(X), \mu_n^{\otimes j})$$

of Galois cohomology actually lies in $H^i_{nr}(F(X)/F, \mu_n^{\otimes j})$. Let

$$\eta_n^{i,j} : H^i(F, \mu_n^{\otimes j}) \longrightarrow H^i_{nr}(F(X)/F, \mu_n^{\otimes j})$$

be the corresponding map. When $n = 2$ we will drop the unnecessary index j.

Assume now that F has odd characteristic and for every non-degenerate quadratic form ϕ of dimension m defined over F let X_ϕ denote the projective smooth quadric in $\mathbb{P}^{m-1}_F$ defined by ϕ.

Theorem 3.2. *Let ϕ be a non-degenerate quadratic form:*

$$x_0^2 + a_1x_1^2 + a_2x_2^2 + a_1a_2x_3^2 + a_3x_4^2$$

of dimension 5*, where $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in F^*$. Then both the kernel and the cokernel of the map:*

$$\eta_2^3 : H^3(F, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^3_{nr}(F(X_\phi)/F, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \tag{3.2.1}$$

are finite.

Proof. Note that ϕ is the neighbour of the Pfister 3-form $\langle 1, a_1\rangle \otimes \langle 1, a_2\rangle \otimes \langle 1, a_3\rangle$. Therefore the order of $\mathrm{Ker}(\eta_2^3)$ is at most two by a theorem of Arason (see Théorème 1.8 of [4] on page 147). Moreover by Proposition 3 (page 845) and Theorem 5 (page 846) of [7] the group $\mathrm{Coker}(\eta_2^3)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{Ker}(\eta_2^3)$, and hence it is also finite. □

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that k is a finite field. Also suppose that L is in general position, we have $b_i, c_i, d_i \neq 0, -1$ for $i = 1, 2$, and let X be projective smooth variety over k which has a fibration over $\mathbb{P}^2_k$ whose generic fibre is the quadric Q. Then the cohomology group $H^3_{nr}(k(X)/k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is finite and non-zero.*

Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène informed me that he can easily deduce the first half of this theorem in bigger generality from the results of [3]. However I prefer to give an independent proof since it can be refined to give an easily computable upper bound.

Proof. Let F be the function field of $\mathbb{P}^2_k$. Consider the image ξ of the cup product:

$$\delta(a) \cup \delta(f) \cup \delta(g_1) \in H^3(F, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

with respect to η_2^3 in $H^3(F(Q), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = H^3(k(X), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ where for every $e \in F^*$ we let $\delta(e)$ denote its class in $H^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = F^*/(F^*)^2$. The argument of Proposition 3.6 of [9] shows without any modification that ξ is non-zero and lies in $H^3_{nr}(k(X)/k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Therefore the latter is non-zero. So we only have to show that $H^3_{nr}(k(X)/k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is finite. By Theorem 3.2 it will be enough to show that the pre-image $M \subset H^3(F, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ of the subgroup

$$H^3_{nr}(k(X)/k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \subset H^3_{nr}(F(Q)/F, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

with respect to the map η_2^3 in (3.2.1) is finite. We will need the following

Lemma 3.4. *Let $N \subset H^3(F, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ be the subgroup of all elements $\zeta \in H^3(F, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\partial_x(\zeta) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{P}^2_k(1)$ which does not lie on the discriminant locus of Q. Then $M \subseteq N$.*

Proof. Let $\zeta \in M$ and let x be as above. Let $y \in X(1)$ be the codimension 1 point of X lying above x. There is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^3(F, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, "\partial_x"] \arrow[d, "\eta_2^3"'] & H^2(k_x, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[d, "\eta_2^2"] \\
H^3(F(Q), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, "\partial_y"] & H^2(k_y, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

(See page 143 of [4] for an explanation.) Therefore $\eta_2^2 \circ \partial_x(\zeta) = 0$. But the reduction of Q onto x is a non-degenerate quadric by assumption, so the homomorphism $\eta_2^2 : H^2(k_x, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(k_y, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is injective by part (4) of Corollary 2 of [7] on page 844. $\square$

End of the proof of Theorem 3.3. By Lemma 3.4 it will be sufficient to prove that N is finite. Let Y be a smooth, geometrically integral projective surface over the finite field k. Then there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^3(k(Y), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{x \in Y(1)} \partial_x} \bigoplus_{x \in Y(1)} H^2(k_x, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

$$\xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{x \in Y(1)} \bigoplus_{y \in \overline{x} \cap Y(2)} \partial_y} \bigoplus_{y \in Y(2)} H^1(k_y, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to 0.$$

by Theorem 0.4 of [8] on page 3 (see also [5]). (This is a special case of a more general result, formally known as Kato's conjecture.) For every smooth, geometrically integral projective curve C and for every finite set $S \subset C(1)$ let

$$H^2_S(k(C), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = \bigcap_{y \in C(1) - S} \mathrm{Ker}(\partial_y) \quad \subseteq H^2(k(C), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}).$$

By the local-global principle for quaternion algebras over global fields $H^2_S(k(C), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is finite. By the above there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \to N \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{l \in L} \partial_l} \bigoplus_{z \in L} H^2_{l \cap I}(k(l), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{l \in L} \bigoplus_{y \in l \cap I} \partial_y} \bigoplus_{y \in I} H^1(k_y, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}),$$

where I is the set of intersection points of any two elements of L. Because the sets L, I and for every $l \in L, y \in I$ the groups $H^2(l - l \cap I, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), H^1(k_y, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ are finite, the claim is now clear. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Theorem 2.1 of [9] there is an isomorphism:

$$H^3_{nr}(k(X)/k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{Coker}[CH^2(X) \to CH^2(X \times_k \overline{k})^{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)}][2],$$

and hence the map in (1.2.1) is not surjective by Theorem 3.1. Let Y be a smooth, projective variety defined over a field F. Let i, j be natural numbers and let $l \neq \mathrm{char}(F)$ be a prime number. Let $H^i_{nr}(F(Y)/F, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(j))$ denote the direct limit:

$$H^i_{nr}(F(Y)/F, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(j)) = \varinjlim_{n=1}^{\infty} H^i_{nr}(F(Y)/F, \mu_{l^n}^{\otimes j}).$$

By Theorem 2.2 of [3] we know that

$$H^3_{nr}(k(X)/k, \mathbb{Q}_2/\mathbb{Z}_2(2))^{codiv} \cong \mathrm{Coker}[CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \to H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}_2(2))]_{tor}$$

where for every abelian group M we let M^{codiv} and M_{tor} denote the quotient of M modulo its maximal divisible subgroup and the maximal torsion subgroup of M, respectively. Since after a quadratic base change X becomes rational we have:

$$H^3_{nr}(F(X)/F, \mathbb{Q}_2/\mathbb{Z}_2(2)) = H^3_{nr}(k(X)/k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}).$$

Therefore

$$H^3_{nr}(k(X)/k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{codiv} \cong \mathrm{Coker}[CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \to H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}_2(2))]_{tor}.$$

Since $H^3_{nr}(k(X)/k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is finite by Theorem 3.1 we get that its maximal divisible subgroup is trivial, and hence map in (1.2.2) is not surjective either. $\square$

4. Construction of the regular model

Let $U_x, U_y, U_z \subset \mathbb{P}^2_k$ be the open subschemes:

$$U_x = \{x \neq 0\}, \quad U_y = \{y \neq 0\}, \quad U_z = \{z \neq 0\}.$$

Let Q_x, Q_y, Q_z be the quadrics on U_x, U_y, U_z, given by the equations:

$$Q_x : \quad x_0^2 - ax_1^2 - f_x x_2^2 + af_x x_3^2 - g_{1x}g_{2x}x_4^2 = 0,$$

$$Q_y : \quad x_0^2 - ax_1^2 - f_y x_2^2 + af_y x_3^2 - g_{1y}g_{2y}x_4^2 = 0,$$

$$Q_z : \quad x_0^2 - ax_1^2 - f_z x_2^2 + af_z x_3^2 - g_{1z}g_{2z}x_4^2 = 0,$$

respectively, where

$$f_x = \frac{y}{x}, \quad g_{1x} = \frac{\prod_{h\in H}(l_1+h)}{x^8}, \quad g_{2x} = \frac{\prod_{h\in H}(l_2+h)}{x^8},$$
$$f_y = \frac{x}{y}, \quad g_{1y} = \frac{\prod_{h\in H}(l_1+h)}{y^8}, \quad g_{2y} = \frac{\prod_{h\in H}(l_2+h)}{y^8},$$
$$f_z = \frac{xy}{z^2}, \quad g_{1z} = \frac{\prod_{h\in H}(l_1+h)}{z^8}, \quad g_{2z} = \frac{\prod_{h\in H}(l_2+h)}{z^8}.$$

Clearly Q_x, Q_y, Q_z are all isomorphic to Q over $U_x \cap U_y \cap U_z$. There are isomorphisms $Q_x \to Q_y, Q_y \to Q_z, Q_z \to Q_x$ over $U_x \cap U_y, U_y \cap U_z, U_z \cap U_x$, respectively, induced by the change of coordinates:

$$[x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4] \mapsto [x_0 : x_1 : \frac{y}{x}x_2 : \frac{y}{x}x_3 : \frac{y^4}{x^4}x_4], \tag{4.0.1}$$

$$[x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4] \mapsto [x_0 : x_1 : \frac{z}{y}x_2 : \frac{z}{y}x_3 : \frac{z^4}{y^4}x_4], \tag{4.0.2}$$

$$[x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4] \mapsto [x_0 : x_1 : \frac{x}{z}x_2 : \frac{x}{z}x_3 : \frac{x^4}{z^4}x_4], \tag{4.0.3}$$

respectively. These maps satisfy the cocycle condition, and hence the maps $Q_x \to U_x, Q_y \to U_y, Q_z \to U_z$ glue together to a fibration $\rho : Y \to \mathbb{P}^2_k$ in quadrics.

Lemma 4.1. *The open subscheme $Y_{xy} = \rho^{-1}(U_x \cap U_y) \subset Y$ is regular, except over the fibres of the set D of intersections of any two of the lines:*

$$K = \{l_1 + h | h \in H\} \cup \{l_2 + h | h \in H\}.$$

For every $d \in D$ the fibre $\rho^{-1}(d)$ has one isolated singularity which can be resolved with one blow-up.

Proof. We may work over $\overline{k}$ in all that follows. For every $p \in U_x \cap U_y - \bigcup_{l\in K} l$ the family Y_{xy} is given by the equation:

$$x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = 0$$

in an étale neighbourhood around p, after a suitable change of coordinates. In particular over this open subscheme the map ρ is smooth. For every $p \in \bigcup_{l\in K} l - D$ the family Y_{xy} is given by the equation:

$$x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + tx_4^2 = 0$$

in an étale neighbourhood around p where t is a local coordinate around p, after a suitable change of coordinates. By taking partial derivatives we get that $\rho^{-1}(U_x \cap U_y - D)$ is still regular and over $\bigcup_{l \in K} l - D$ the fibre of ρ is a cone over a non-singular quadric surface. For every $p \in D$ the family Y_{xy} is given by the equation:

$$x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + t_1 t_2 x_4^2 = 0$$

in an étale neighbourhood around p where t_1, t_2 are a complete system of local coordinates around p. By taking partial derivatives we get that there is an isolated singularity at $x_0 = \cdots = x_3 = t_1 = t_2 = 0$. Consider the affine open neighbourhood of this singularity given by the equation:

$$x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + t_1 t_2 = 0,$$

and consider the blow-up of this variety at $(0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ in $\mathbb{A}^6_{\overline{k}} \times \mathbb{P}^5_{\overline{k}}$, where we introduce the homogeneous coordinates $[y_0 : \ldots : y_3 : u_1 : u_2]$ for $\mathbb{P}^5_{\overline{k}}$. On the open subscheme $y_0 \neq 0$ the equation of the blow-up is:

$$1 + y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 + u_1 u_2 = 0$$

in the variables $x_0, y_1, y_2, y_3, u_1, u_2$, and we have a similar equation for the domains $y_1 \neq 0, y_2 \neq 0, y_3 \neq 0$, too. On the open subscheme $u_1 \neq 0$ the equation of the blow-up is:

$$y_0^2 + y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 + u_2 = 0$$

in the variables $t_1, y_0, \ldots, y_3, u_2$, and we have a similar equation for the domain $u_2 \neq 0$, too. By taking partial derivatives we get that these varieties are smooth. □

Let V_{xy} denote the closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^2_k$ which is the complement of U_{xy}, i.e. given by the equation $xy = 0$. Let Z_x, Z_y, Z_z denote the closed subscheme of Q_x, Q_y, Q_z, respectively, given by the same system of equations:

$$xy = 0, \quad x_2^2 = a x_3^2, \quad x_0 = x_1 = x_4 = 0.$$

These glue together under the maps (4.0.1), (4.0.2) and (4.0.3), hence they define a closed subscheme $Z \subseteq Y$ which lies in $\rho^{-1}(V_{xy})$.

Lemma 4.2. *The singular locus of Y on the subscheme $\rho^{-1}(V_{xy} - [0:0:1])$ is the closed subscheme Z. By blowing up Z the singularities are resolved.*

Proof. For every $p \in V_{xy} - \{[0:0:1]\} - \bigcup_{l \in K} l$ the family Y is given by the equation:

$$r_0 r_1 t_1 + x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_4^2 = 0$$

in an étale neighbourhood around p where t_1, t_2 are a complete system of local coordinates around p such that the closed subscheme Z is given by the equations:

$$t_1 = 0, \quad r_0 r_1 = 0, \quad x_0 = x_1 = x_4 = 0.$$

By taking partial derivatives we get that the singular locus is indeed Z which is the union of the two closed subsets:

$$Z_0 : r_0 = t_1 = x_0 = x_1 = x_4 = 0,$$

$$Z_1 : r_1 = t_1 = x_0 = x_1 = x_4 = 0.$$

Consider the affine open neighbourhood $r_1 \neq 0$ of Z_0 given by the equation:

$$r_0 t_1 + x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_4^2 = 0,$$

and consider the blow-up of this variety at Z_0 in $\mathbb{A}^6_{\overline{k}} \times \mathbb{P}^4_{\overline{k}}$, where we introduce the homogeneous coordinates $[s_0 : v_1 : y_0 : y_1 : y_4]$ for $\mathbb{P}^4_{\overline{k}}$ (corresponding to $(r_0, t_1, x_0, x_1, x_4)$). On the open subscheme $v_1 \neq 0$ the equation of the blow-up is:

$$s_0 + y_0^2 + y_1^2 + y_4^2 = 0$$

in the variables $t_1, t_2, s_0, y_0, y_1, y_4$, and we have a similar equation for the domain $s_0 \neq 0$. By taking partial derivatives we get that these varieties are smooth. On the open subscheme $y_0 \neq 0$ the equation of the blow-up is:

$$s_0 v_1 + 1 + y_1^2 + y_4^2 = 0$$

in the variables $v_1, t_2, s_0, x_0, y_1, y_4$, and we have a similar equation for the domains $y_1 \neq 0$ and $y_4 \neq 0$. These are smooth, too. A similar computation takes care of Z_1.

For every $p \in V_{xy} \cap \left(\bigcup_{l \in K} l\right)$ the family Y is given by the equation:

$$r_0 r_1 t_1 + x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_4^2 t_2 = 0$$

in an étale neighbourhood around p where t_1, t_2 are a complete system of local coordinates around p such that the closed subscheme Z is given by the equations:

$$t_1 = 0, \quad r_0 r_1 = 0, \quad x_0 = x_1 = x_4 = 0.$$

By taking partial derivatives we get that the singular locus is still Z which is the union of the two closed subsets:

$$Z_0 : r_0 = t_1 = x_0 = x_1 = x_4 = 0,$$

$$Z_1 : r_1 = t_1 = x_0 = x_1 = x_4 = 0.$$

Consider the affine open neighbourhood $r_1 \neq 0$ of Z_0 given by the equation:

$$r_0 t_1 + x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_4^2 t_2 = 0,$$

and consider the blow-up of this variety at Z_0 in $\mathbb{A}^6_{\overline{k}} \times \mathbb{P}^4_{\overline{k}}$, where we introduce the homogeneous coordinates $[s_0 : v_1 : y_0 : y_1 : y_4]$ for $\mathbb{P}^4_{\overline{k}}$ (corresponding to $(r_0, t_1, x_0, x_1, x_4)$). On the open subscheme $v_1 \neq 0$ the equation of the blow-up is:

$$s_0 + y_0^2 + y_1^2 + y_4^2 t_2 = 0$$

in the variables $t_1, t_2, s_0, y_0, y_1, y_4$, and we have a similar equation for the domain $s_0 \neq 0$. By taking partial derivatives we get that these varieties are smooth. On the open subscheme $y_0 \neq 0$ the equation of the blow-up is:

$$s_0 v_1 + 1 + y_1^2 + y_4^2 t_2 = 0$$

in the variables $v_1, t_2, s_0, x_0, y_1, y_4$, and we have a similar equation for the domains $y_1 \neq 0$ and $y_4 \neq 0$. These are smooth, too. A similar computation takes care of Z_1. □

Let $W \subset \rho^{-1}([0 : 0 : 1])$ be the closed subscheme which is given by the system of equations:

$$x = y = x_0 = x_1 = x_4 = 0,$$

if we consider it as a subscheme of Q_z.

Lemma 4.3. *The singular locus of Y on the subscheme $\rho^{-1}([0 : 0 : 1])$ is the closed subscheme W. By blowing up W first, then the proper transform of Z, these singularities are resolved.*

Proof. At $p=[0:0:1]$ the family Y is given by the equation:

$$r_0r_1t_1t_2+x_0^2+x_1^2+x_4^2=0$$

in an étale neighbourhood around p where t_1,t_2 are a complete system of local coordinates around p such that the closed subscheme Z is given by the equations:

$$t_1t_2=0,\quad r_0r_1=0,\quad x_0=x_1=x_4=0,$$

while W is given by the equations:

$$t_1=t_2=x_0=x_1=x_4=0.$$

By taking partial derivatives we get that the singular locus is the union of Z and W. On the affine open $r_0\neq 0$ the family Y is given by the equation:

$$r_1t_1t_2+x_0^2+x_1^2+x_4^2=0.$$

Consider the blow-up of this variety at W in $\mathbb{A}^6_{\overline{k}}\times\mathbb{P}^4_{\overline{k}}$, where we introduce the homogeneous coordinates $[v_1:v_2:y_0:y_1:y_4]$ for $\mathbb{P}^4_{\overline{k}}$ (corresponding to (t_1,t_2,x_0,x_1,x_4)). On the open subscheme $v_1\neq 0$ the equation of the blow-up is:

$$r_1v_2+y_0^2+y_1^2+y_4^2=0 \tag{4.3.1}$$

in the variables r_1,t_1,v_2,y_0,y_1,y_4, and we have a similar equation for the domain $v_2\neq 0$. By taking partial derivatives we get that these varieties are smooth except at the closed subvarieties Z_1' and Z_2' given by the system of equations

$$Z_1':r_1=v_2=y_0=y_1=y_4=0\quad\text{and}\quad Z_2':r_1=v_1=y_0=y_1=y_4=0,$$

respectively. On the open subscheme $y_0\neq 0$ the equation of the blow-up is:

$$r_1v_1v_2+1+y_1^2+y_4^2=0$$

in the variables r_1,v_1,v_2,x_0,y_1,y_4, and we have a similar equation for the domains $y_1\neq 0$ and $y_4\neq 0$. These are smooth.

Consider the blow-up of the variety given the equation in (4.3.1) at Z_1' in $\mathbb{A}^6_{\overline{k}}\times\mathbb{P}^4_{\overline{k}}$, where we introduce the homogeneous coordinates $[s_1:w_2:z_0:z_1:z_4]$ for $\mathbb{P}^4_{\overline{k}}$ (corresponding to (r_1,v_2,y_0,y_1,y_4)). On the open subscheme $s_1\neq 0$ the equation of the blow-up is:

$$w_2+z_0^2+z_1^2+z_4^2=0$$

in the variables r_1,t_1,w_2,z_0,z_1,z_4, and we have a similar equation for the domain $w_2\neq 0$. By taking partial derivatives we get that these varieties are smooth. On the open subscheme $z_0\neq 0$ the equation of the blow-up is:

$$s_1w_2+1+z_1^2+z_4^2=0$$

in the variables s_1,t_1,w_2,y_0,z_1,z_4, and we have a similar equation for the domains $y_1\neq 0$ and $y_4\neq 0$. These are all smooth. A similar computation shows that the blow-up at Z_2' of the variety which we get by blowing-up Y at W is smooth in an open neighbourhood of the pre-image of Z_2'.

It is also clear from the above that the union $Z_1'\cup Z_2'$ is the intersection of the proper transform of the closed subscheme

$$t_1t_2=0,\quad r_1=0,\quad x_0=x_1=x_4=0 \tag{4.3.2}$$

with an open neighbourhood of fibre of the blow-up over $t_1 = t_2 = 0$. A similar computation shows that the singular locus of the blow-up of Y at W on the affine open $r_1 \neq 0$ is the intersection of the proper transform of the closed subscheme:

$$t_1t_2 = 0, \quad r_0 = 0, \quad x_0 = x_1 = x_4 = 0 \tag{4.3.3}$$

with an open neighbourhood of fibre of the blow-up over $t_1 = t_2 = 0$, and the blow-up of this subvariety is regular. Since the (scheme-theoretical) union of the two closed subschemes in (4.3.2) and (4.3.3) is Z, the claim is now clear. □

Definition 4.4. Let $\rho_0 : Y_0 \to \mathbb{P}^2_k$ be the family which we get by blowing up the disjoint closed subschemes $W \subset Y$ and the isolated singularities of $Y_{xy} \subset Y$ inside the family $\rho : Y \to \mathbb{P}^2_k$. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^2_k$ be be the family which we get by blowing up the proper transform $\widetilde{Z} \subset Y_0$ of the closed subscheme $Z \subset Y$ inside the family $\rho_0 : Y_0 \to \mathbb{P}^2_k$. By the three lemmas above the resulting variety X is regular. In particular Theorem 1.1 is true.

5. The cohomology of quadrics

Let l be a prime different from the characteristic of k. Let $C_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^4_{\overline{k}}$ be a non-singular quadric hypersurface. After a change of variables C_1 is defined by the homogeneous equation:

$$x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = 0.$$

Let $\eta \in H^2(C_1, \mathbb{Z}_l)(-1)$ be the class of the hyperplane section.

Proposition 5.1. *The following holds:*

(*a*) *we have:*

$$H^i(C_1, \mathbb{Z}_l) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_l, & \text{if } i \text{ is even and } 0 \leq i \leq 6, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

(*b*) *the class η generates $H^2(C_1, \mathbb{Z}_l)(-1)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module.*

Proof. See Theorem 1.12 of [11] and the discussion preceding it. □

Let $C_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^4_{\overline{k}}$ be a quadric hypersurface given by the homogeneous equation:

$$x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 0,$$

where $[x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4]$ are the homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^4_{\overline{k}}$. Then C_2 is a cone over the non-sigular quadric surface $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3_{\overline{k}}$ given by the same equation. Let $\widetilde{C}_2$ be the blow-up of C_2 at its unique singular point $[0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 1]$.

Proposition 5.2. *The following holds:*

$$H^i(\widetilde{C}_2, \mathbb{Z}_l) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_l, & \text{if } i = 0, 6, \\ \mathbb{Z}_l^3, & \text{if } i = 2, 4, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The scheme $\widetilde{C}_2$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over C. Let $p : \widetilde{C}_2 \to C$ be this fibration. By the proper base change theorem $p_*\mathbb{Z}_l \cong \mathbb{Z}_l$, and we have $R^ip_*\mathbb{Z}_l = 0$ for every $i \neq 0, 2$. Moreover $R^2p_*\mathbb{Z}_l \cong \mathbb{Z}_l$, again by the proper base change theorem and since the fibration has a section, as the Brauer group of C is trivial. Therefore the Leray spectral sequence $H^i(C, R^jp_*\mathbb{Z}_l) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(\widetilde{C}_2, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ degenerates and the claim follows. □

Let $\eta \in H^2(C_2, \mathbb{Z}_l)(-1)$ be the class of the hyperplane section and let $k : \widetilde{C}_2 \to C_2$ denote the blow-up map.

Proposition 5.3. *The following holds:*

(*a*) *we have:*

$$H^i(C_2, \mathbb{Z}_l) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_l, & \textit{if } i = 0, 2, 6, \\ \mathbb{Z}_l^2, & \textit{if } i = 4, \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

(*b*) *the class η generates $H^2(C_2, \mathbb{Z}_l)(-1)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module.*

Proof. Let $i : C \to \widetilde{C}_2$ be the section at infinity of the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\widetilde{C}_2 \to C$. Then the image of i is the exceptional divisor of the blow-up $k : \widetilde{C}_2 \to C_2$. Let $U \subset \widetilde{C}_2$ be the complement of $i(C)$ and let $j : U \to \widetilde{C}_2$ be the inclusion map. By the proper base change theorem $H^*(C, \mathbb{Z}_l) = H^*(\widetilde{C}_2, i_*\mathbb{Z}_l)$. Therefore the long cohomological exact sequence associated to the short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow j_!\mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow i_*\mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow 0$$

looks as follows:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow H^1(\widetilde{C}_2, j_!\mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\longrightarrow H^2(\widetilde{C}_2, j_!\mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l^3 \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{Z}_l^2 \longrightarrow H^3(\widetilde{C}_2, j_!\mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow H^4(\widetilde{C}_2, j_!\mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l^3 \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow H^5(\widetilde{C}_2, j_!\mathbb{Z}_l)$$

$$\longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow H^6(\widetilde{C}_2, j_!\mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow 0.$$

The map α is an isomorphism. The composition of $i : C \to \widetilde{C}_2$ and the projection $p : \widetilde{C}_2 \to C$ is the identity map of C, and hence the maps β, γ are surjective. Therefore

$$H^i(\widetilde{C}_2, j_!\mathbb{Z}_l) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_l, & \text{if } i = 2, 6, \\ \mathbb{Z}_l^2, & \text{if } i = 4, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $V \subset C_2$ be the complement of the cusp of the cone C_2 and let $j' : V \to C_2$ be the inclusion map. Clearly $k_*j_!\mathbb{Z}_l = j'_!\mathbb{Z}_l$ and the higher direct image sheaves $R^ik_*j_!\mathbb{Z}_l$ vanish for every $i > 0$ by the proper base change theorem. Therefore $H^*(C_2, j'_*\mathbb{Z}_l) = H^*(\widetilde{C}_2, j_!\mathbb{Z}_l)$. Since the complement of V is a point, we have:

$$H^i(C_2, \mathbb{Z}_l) = \begin{cases} H^i(C_2, j'_!\mathbb{Z}_l) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_l, & \text{if } i = 0, \\ H^i(C_2, j'_!\mathbb{Z}_l), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Claim (a) is now clear. Because the pull-back of η onto any line lying on C is still a generator, claim (b) must hold, too. □

Let $\widetilde{C}_3$ be the blow-up of the quadric hypersurface $C_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^4_k$ given by the homogeneous equation:

$$x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 0$$

at the line $x_0 = x_1 = x_2 = 0$. Let $m : \widetilde{C}_3 \to C_3$ be the blow-up map and let $\eta \in H^2(C_3, \mathbb{Z}_l)(-1)$ be the class of the hyperplane section.

Proposition 5.4. *The following holds:*

$$H^i(\widetilde{C}_3, \mathbb{Z}_l) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_l, & \text{if } i = 0, 6, \\ \mathbb{Z}_l^2, & \text{if } i = 2, 4, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The variety $\widetilde{C}_3$ is a $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{k}}$. Let $p : \widetilde{C}_3 \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{k}}$ be this fibration. By the proper base change theorem $p_*\mathbb{Z}_l \cong \mathbb{Z}_l$, and we have $R^i p_*\mathbb{Z}_l = 0$ for every $i \neq 0, 2, 4$. Moreover $R^2 p_*\mathbb{Z}_l \cong R^4 p_*\mathbb{Z}_l \cong \mathbb{Z}_l$, again by the proper base change theorem and since the associated fibration of hyperplane sections has a section, as the Brauer group of $\mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{k}}$ is trivial. Therefore the Leray spectral sequence $H^i(\mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{k}}, R^j p_*\mathbb{Z}_l) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(\widetilde{C}_3, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ degenerates and the claim follows. □

Proposition 5.5. *The following holds:*

(*a*) *we have:*

$$H^i(C_3, \mathbb{Z}_l) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_l, & \text{if } i = 0, 2, 4, 6, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

(*b*) *the class η generates $H^2(C_3, \mathbb{Z}_l)(-1)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module.*

Proof. Let $C' \subset \widetilde{C}_3$ be the exceptional divisor of the blow-up $m : \widetilde{C}_3 \to C_3$ and let $p' : C \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{k}}$ be the restriction of the fibration $p : \widetilde{C}_3 \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{k}}$ onto C'. Then p' equips C' with the structure of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{k}}$. It has a section as the Brauer group of $\mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{k}}$ is trivial. Therefore by repeating the argument in the proof of Proposition 5.2 we get that the Leray spectral sequence $H^i(\mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{k}}, R^j p'_*\mathbb{Z}_l) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(C', \mathbb{Z}_l)$ degenerates and

$$H^i(C', \mathbb{Z}_l) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_l, & \text{if } i = 0, 4, \\ \mathbb{Z}_l^2, & \text{if } i = 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $i : C' \to \widetilde{C}_3$ be the inclusion map; it induces isomorphisms $p_*\mathbb{Z}_l \to p'_*\mathbb{Z}_l$ and $R^2 p_*\mathbb{Z}_l \to R^2 p'_*\mathbb{Z}_l$ by the proper base change theorem. Therefore the pull-back maps $i^* : H^2(\widetilde{C}_3, \mathbb{Z}_l) \to H^2(C', \mathbb{Z}_l)$ and $i^* : H^4(\widetilde{C}_3, \mathbb{Z}_l) \to H^4(C', \mathbb{Z}_l)$ are surjective by the functoriality of the Leray spectral sequence and by the above.

Let $U \subset \widetilde{C}_3$ be the complement of C' and let $j : U \to \widetilde{C}_3$ be the inclusion map. By the proper base change theorem $H^*(C', \mathbb{Z}_l) = H^*(\widetilde{C}_3, i_*\mathbb{Z}_l)$. Therefore the long cohomological exact sequence associated to the short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow j_!\mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow i_*\mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow 0$$

looks as follows:

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow H^1(\widetilde{C}_3, j_!\mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \\ \longrightarrow H^2(\widetilde{C}_3, j_!\mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l^2 \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{Z}_l^2 \longrightarrow H^3(\widetilde{C}_3, j_!\mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow 0 \\ \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow H^4(\widetilde{C}_3, j_!\mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l^2 \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow H^5(\widetilde{C}_3, j_!\mathbb{Z}_l) \\ \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow H^6(\widetilde{C}_3, j_!\mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The map α is an isomorphism, and the maps β, γ are surjective, as we saw above. Therefore

$$H^i(\widetilde{C}_3, j_!\mathbb{Z}_l) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_l, & \text{if } i = 4, 6, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $V \subset C_3$ be the complement of the line $x_0 = x_1 = x_2$ and let $j' : V \to C_3$ be the inclusion map. Clearly $m_* j_! \mathbb{Z}_l = j'_! \mathbb{Z}_l$ and the higher direct image sheaves $R^i m_* j_! \mathbb{Z}_l$ vanish for every $i > 0$ by the proper base change theorem. Therefore $H^*(C_3, j'_* \mathbb{Z}_l) = H^*(\widetilde{C}_3, j_! \mathbb{Z}_l)$. Let $V' \subset C_4$ be the complement of V and let $i' : V' \to C_4$ be the inclusion map. Then V' is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{k}}$. Therefore the long cohomological exact sequence associated to the short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow j'_! \mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow i'_* \mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow 0$$

looks as follows:

$$\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow H^0(C_3, \mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow H^1(C_3, \mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow 0 \\
\longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow H^2(C_3, \mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow H^3(C_3, \mathbb{Z}_l) \\
\longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow H^4(C_3, \mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \\
\longrightarrow H^5(C_3, \mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow H^6(C_3, \mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow 0.
\end{gathered}$$

Claim (a) follows. Because the pull-back of η onto any line lying on C_3 is still a generator, claim (b) holds, too. $\square$

Let C_4 be the quadric hypersurface $\subset \mathbb{P}^4_{\overline{k}}$ given by the homogeneous equation:

$$x_0 x_1 = 0$$

$[x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4]$ are the homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^4_{\overline{k}}$ and let $\eta \in H^2(C_4, \mathbb{Z}_l)(-1)$ be the class of the hyperplane section.

Proposition 5.6. *The following holds:*

(a) *we have*

$$H^i(C_4, \mathbb{Z}_l) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_l, & \text{if } i = 0, 2, 4, \\ \mathbb{Z}_l^2, & \text{if } i = 6, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(b) *the class η generates $H^2(C_4, \mathbb{Z}_l)(-1)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module.*

Proof. Let $U = \{x_0 = 0\}$ and $V = \{x_1 = 0\}$. Clearly $C_4 = U \cup V$. Since $U \cong V \cong \mathbb{P}^3_{\overline{k}}$ and $U \cap V \cong \mathbb{P}^2_{\overline{k}}$, the Mayer-Vietoris long exact sequence for the triad (C_4, U, V) look as follows:

$$\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l^2 \xrightarrow{\ \alpha\ } \mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow H^1(C_4, \mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \\
\longrightarrow H^2(C_4, \mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l^2 \xrightarrow{\ \beta\ } \mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow H^3(C_4, \mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow 0 \\
\longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow H^4(C_4, \mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l^2 \xrightarrow{\ \gamma\ } \mathbb{Z}_l \longrightarrow H^5(C_4, \mathbb{Z}_l) \\
\longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow H^6(C_4, \mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l^2 \longrightarrow 0.
\end{gathered}$$

The maps α, β, γ are surjective and hence claim (a) holds. Because the pull-back of η onto any line lying on C_4 is still a generator, claim (b) holds, too. $\square$

6. Cohomology of the regular model

For every Spec(k)-scheme S let $\overline{S}$ denote its base change to Spec($\overline{k}$). Similarly for every morphism $m : R \to S$ of Spec(k)-schemes let $\overline{m} : \overline{R} \to \overline{S}$ denote the base change to Spec($\overline{k}$).

Lemma 6.1. *The following holds:*

(a) *we have*

$$R^i\overline{\rho}_*(\mathbb{Z}_l) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_l, & \text{if } i = 0, 2, \\ 0, & \text{if } i = 1, 3. \end{cases}$$

(b) *the stalks of* $R^4\overline{\rho}_*(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ *are torsion-free.*

Proof. Note that the geometric fibres of ρ are all isomorphic to one of the quadrics C_1, C_2, C_3, or C_4. Because they are all connected, we have $R^0\overline{\rho}_*(\mathbb{Z}_l) \cong \mathbb{Z}_l$ by the proper base change theorem. Moreover by part (a) of Propositions 5.1, 5.3, 5.5 and 5.6 and the proper base change theorem the stalks of the sheaves $R^1\overline{\rho}_*(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ and $R^3\overline{\rho}_*(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ are trivial, while the stalks of $R^4\overline{\rho}_*(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ are torsion-free. It is clear from the construction of the fibration $\rho : Y \to \mathbb{P}^2_k$ that there is a $\mathbb{P}^4$-bundle $\tau : T \to \mathbb{P}^2_k$ and a closed immersion of $\mathbb{P}^2_k$-schemes $\iota : Y \to T$ such that $\rho = \tau \circ \iota$. The sheaf $R^2\overline{\tau}_*(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ is lisse of rank one. Because the étale fundamental group of $\mathbb{P}^2_{\overline{k}}$ is trivial we get that $R^2\overline{\tau}_*(\mathbb{Z}_l) \cong \mathbb{Z}_l$. By part ($b$) of Propositions 5.1, 5.3, 5.5 and 5.6 and the proper base change theorem the map $\overline{\iota}^* : R^2\overline{\tau}_*(\mathbb{Z}_l) \to R^2\overline{\rho}_*(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ is an isomorphism. □

Proposition 6.2. *The following holds:*

(a) *we have*

$$H^i(\overline{Y}, \mathbb{Z}_l) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_l, & \text{if } i = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } i = 1, 3, \\ \mathbb{Z}_l^2, & \text{if } i = 2. \end{cases}$$

(b) *the group* $H^4(\overline{Y}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ *is torsion-free.*

Proof. There is a Leray-Serre spectral sequence:

$$E^2_{i,j} = H^i(\mathbb{P}^2_{\overline{k}}, R^j\overline{\rho}_*(\mathbb{Z}_l)) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(\overline{Y}, \mathbb{Z}_l).$$

Clearly $H^0(\overline{Y}, \mathbb{Z}_l) = \mathbb{Z}_l$ since $\overline{Y}$ is connected. By Lemma 6.1 we have

$$E^2_{1,0} = H^1(\mathbb{P}^2_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_l) = 0 \text{ and } E^2_{0,1} = H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_{\overline{k}}, 0) = 0,$$

and hence $H^1(\overline{Y}, \mathbb{Z}_l) = 0$. Similarly by Lemma 6.1 we have

$$E^2_{3,0} = H^3(\mathbb{P}^2_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_l) = 0,\ E^2_{2,1} = H^2(\mathbb{P}^2_{\overline{k}}, 0) = 0,$$

$$E^2_{1,2} = H^1(\mathbb{P}^2_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_l) = 0, \text{ and } E^2_{0,3} = H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_{\overline{k}}, 0) = 0,$$

and hence $H^3(\overline{Y}, \mathbb{Z}_l) = 0$. Moreover

$$E^2_{2,0} = H^2(\mathbb{P}^2_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_l) = \mathbb{Z}_l,\ E^2_{1,1} = H^1(\mathbb{P}^2_{\overline{k}}, 0) = 0,\ E^2_{0,2} = H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_l) = \mathbb{Z}_l$$

by Lemma 6.1. However the domain and the range, respectively, of the boundary maps $d^2_{0,1} : E^2_{0,1} \to E^2_{2,0}$ and $d^2_{0,2} : E^2_{0,2} \to E^2_{2,1}$ are zero, so we get that

$$E^\infty_{2,0} \cong E^2_{2,0} \cong \mathbb{Z}_l \text{ and } E^\infty_{0,2} \cong E^2_{0,2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_l.$$

Therefore we have $H^2(\overline{Y}, \mathbb{Z}_l) \cong \mathbb{Z}_l^2$. By Lemma 6.1

$$E^2_{4,0} = H^4(\mathbb{P}^2_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_l) = \mathbb{Z}_l,\ E^2_{3,1} = H^3(\mathbb{P}^2_{\overline{k}}, 0) = 0,$$

$$E^2_{2,2} = H^2(\mathbb{P}^2_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_l) = \mathbb{Z}_l, \text{ and } E^2_{1,3} = H^1(\mathbb{P}^2_{\overline{k}}, 0) = 0.$$

By the above the groups $E^2_{2,1}, E^3_{1,2}, E^4_{0,3}$ are all zero. Therefore the images of the boundary maps $d^2_{2,1} : E^2_{2,1} \to E^2_{4,0}, d^3_{1,2} : E^3_{1,2} \to E^3_{4,0}, d^4_{0,3} : E^4_{0,3} \to E^4_{4,0}$ are also zero. So $E^\infty_{4,0}$ is the subgroup of $E^2_{4,0}$ and hence torsion-free. Because $E^2_{0,3}$ is also zero, for the same reason we get that $E^\infty_{2,2}$ is the subgroup of $E^2_{2,2}$ and hence torsion-free, too. By part (b) of Lemma 6.1 the group $E^2_{0,4} = H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_{\overline{k}}, R^4\overline{\rho}_*(\mathbb{Z}_l))$ is torsion-free. The group $E^\infty_{0,4}$ is a subgroup of $E^2_{0,4}$ so it is also torsion-free. We get that $H^4(\overline{Y}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ has a filtration by torsion-free groups, so it is torsion-free, too. □

Let $\rho_1 : Y_1 \to \mathbb{P}^2_k$ be the family which we get by blowing up the isolated singularities of $Y_{xy} \subset Y$ inside the family $\rho : Y \to \mathbb{P}^2_k$.

Proposition 6.3. *The following holds:*

(a) *we have*

$$H^i(\overline{Y}_1, \mathbb{Z}_l) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_l, & \text{if } i = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } i = 1, 3, \\ \mathbb{Z}_l^{66}, & \text{if } i = 2. \end{cases}$$

(b) *the group $H^4(\overline{Y}_1, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ is torsion-free.*

Proof. First note that for every point $d \in D$ the blow-up of the unique isolated singularity of the fibre $\rho^{-1}(d)$ is isomorphic to the four-dimensional non-singular quadric hypersurface C_5:

$$y_0^2 + y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 + u_1 u_2 = 0$$

where $[y_0 : y_1 : y_2 : y_3 : u_1 : u_2]$ are the homogeneous coordinates for $\mathbb{P}^5_{\overline{k}}$ and we continue to use the notation of the proof of Lemma 4.1. By Theorem 1.13 of [11] we have:

$$H^i(C_5, \mathbb{Z}_l) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_l, & \text{if } i = 0, 2, 6, 8, \\ \mathbb{Z}_l^2, & \text{if } i = 4, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\sigma : Y_1 \to Y$ be the blow-up map. By the proper base change theorem $R^0\overline{\sigma}_*(\mathbb{Z}_l) \cong \mathbb{Z}_l$ and $R^1\overline{\sigma}_*(\mathbb{Z}_l) \cong R^3\rho_*(\mathbb{Z}_l) \cong 0$, while $R^2\overline{\sigma}_*(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ and $R^4\overline{\sigma}_*(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ are skyscraper sheaves supported on the set $\widetilde{D}$ of singular points in $\rho^{-1}(D)$ such that their stalk at every $d \in \widetilde{D}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_l$ and $\mathbb{Z}_l^2$, respectively. There is a Leray-Serre spectral sequence:

$$E^2_{i,j} = H^i(\overline{Y}, R^j\overline{\sigma}_*(\mathbb{Z}_l)) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(\overline{Y}_1, \mathbb{Z}_l).$$

By the above

$$E^2_{i,j} \cong \begin{cases} H^i(\overline{Y}, \mathbb{Z}_l), & \text{if } j = 0, \\ H^j(C_5, \mathbb{Z}_l)^{\oplus 64}, & \text{if } i = 0, j > 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

since $|\widetilde{D}| = |D| = 64$. Clearly $H^0(\overline{Y}_1, \mathbb{Z}_l) = \mathbb{Z}_l$ since Y_1 is connected. By Proposition 6.2 and the above it is also clear that $E^2_{i,j} = 0$ when $i + j = 1$ or $i + j = 3$, and hence $H^1(\overline{Y}_1, \mathbb{Z}_l) = H^3(\overline{Y}_1, \mathbb{Z}_l) = 0$. Because the domain and the range, respectively, of the boundary maps $d^2_{0,1} : E^2_{0,1} \to E^2_{2,0}$ and $d^2_{0,2} : E^2_{0,2} \to E^2_{2,1}$ are zero, we get that

$$E^\infty_{2,0} \cong E^2_{2,0} \cong \mathbb{Z}_l^2 \text{ and } E^\infty_{0,2} \cong E^2_{0,2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_l^{64}.$$

Therefore we have $H^2(\overline{Y}_1,\mathbb{Z}_l)\cong\mathbb{Z}_l^{66}$. By the above the groups $E^2_{2,1},E^3_{1,2},E^4_{0,3}$ are all zero. Therefore the images of the boundary maps $d^2_{2,1}:E^2_{2,1}\to E^2_{4,0},d^3_{1,2}:E^3_{1,2}\to E^3_{4,0},d^4_{0,3}:E^4_{0,3}\to E^4_{4,0}$ are also zero. So $E^\infty_{4,0}\cong E^2_{4,0}=H^4(\overline{Y},\mathbb{Z}_l)$ is torsion-free by part (b) of Proposition 6.2. By the above $E^2_{0,4}$ is torsion-free. The group $E^\infty_{0,4}$ is a subgroup of $E^2_{0,4}$ so it is also torsion-free. We get that $H^4(\overline{Y}_1,\mathbb{Z}_l)$ has a filtration by torsion-free groups, so it is torsion-free, too. □

Definition 6.4. We say that a morphism $\phi:A\to B$ of n-dimensional varieties over $\overline{k}$ is a simple q-map if it is the blow-up of a subvariety $K\subset B$ which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{k}}$ and the map $\phi|_{\phi^{-1}(K)}:\phi^{-1}(K)\to K$ is a quadratic fibration, that is, there is a $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$-bundle $\sigma:T\to K$ and a closed immersion of K-schemes $\iota:\phi^{-1}(K)\to T$ such that $\phi|_{\phi^{-1}(K)}=\sigma\circ\iota$ and for every $\overline{k}$-valued point p of K the restriction $\iota|_{\phi^{-1}(p)}:\phi^{-1}(p)\to\sigma^{-1}(p)\cong\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{\overline{k}}$ is the closed imbedding of a quadratic hypersuface into its ambient projective space. We say that a morphism $\phi:A\to B$ as above is a q-map if it is the composition of finitely many simple q-maps.

Proposition 6.5. *Let $\phi:A\to B$ be a q-map of 5-dimensional projective varieties over $\overline{k}$. Assume that*

$$H^1(B,\mathbb{Z}_l)=H^3(B,\mathbb{Z}_l)=0,$$

and both $H^2(B,\mathbb{Z}_l)$ and $H^4(B,\mathbb{Z}_l)$ are torsion-free. Then the same holds for A.

Proof. By induction we may reduce to the case when ϕ is a simple q-map. Let $\kappa:K\to B$ be the subvariety which is the centre of the blow-up ϕ. By part (a) of Propositions 5.1, 5.3, 5.5 and 5.6 and the proper base change theorem $R^0\phi_*(\mathbb{Z}_l)\cong\mathbb{Z}_l$ and $R^1\phi_*(\mathbb{Z}_l)\cong R^3\phi_*(\mathbb{Z}_l)\cong 0$, while $R^4\phi_*(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ is a sheaf supported on K with torsion-free stalks. Let $\sigma:T\to K$ be a $\mathbb{P}^4$-bundle and let $\iota:\phi^{-1}(K)\to T$ be a closed immersion of K-schemes which satisfies the conditions of Definition 6.4 above. The sheaf $R^2\sigma_*(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ is lisse of rank one. Because the étale fundamental group of $K\cong\mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{k}}$ is trivial we get that $R^2\sigma_*(\mathbb{Z}_l)\cong\mathbb{Z}_l$. By part (b) of Propositions 5.1, 5.3, 5.5 and 5.6 and the proper base change theorem the map $\iota^*:R^2\sigma_*(\mathbb{Z}_l)\to R^2\phi_*(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ is an isomorphism. Therefore $R^2\phi_*(\mathbb{Z}_l)\cong\mathbb{Z}_l$. There is a Leray-Serre spectral sequence:

$$E^2_{i,j}=H^i(B,R^j\phi_*(\mathbb{Z}_l))\Rightarrow H^{i+j}(A,\mathbb{Z}_l).$$

By the above we have

$$E^2_{1,0}=H^1(B,\mathbb{Z}_l)=0 \text{ and } E^2_{0,1}=H^0(B,0)=0,$$

and hence $H^1(A,\mathbb{Z}_l)=0$. Similarly by the above we have

$$E^2_{3,0}=H^3(B,\mathbb{Z}_l)=0,\ E^2_{2,1}=H^2(B,0)=0,$$

$$E^2_{1,2}=H^1(B,\kappa_*(\mathbb{Z}_l))=H^1(K,\mathbb{Z}_l)=0, \text{ and } E^2_{0,3}=H^0(B,0)=0,$$

and hence $H^3(A,\mathbb{Z}_l)=0$. Moreover

$$E^2_{2,0}=H^2(B,\mathbb{Z}_l),\ E^2_{1,1}=H^1(B,0)=0,\ E^2_{0,2}=H^0(B,\kappa_*(\mathbb{Z}_l))=H^0(K,\mathbb{Z}_l)=\mathbb{Z}_l.$$

Moreover the domain and the range, respectively, of the boundary maps $d^2_{0,1}:E^2_{0,1}\to E^2_{2,0}$ and $d^2_{0,2}:E^2_{0,2}\to E^2_{2,1}$ are zero, so we get that $E^\infty_{2,0}\cong E^2_{2,0}$ and $E^\infty_{0,2}\cong E^2_{0,2}$, and hence $H^2(A,\mathbb{Z}_l)$ has a filtration by torsion-free groups. Therefore it is torsion-free, too. We have:

$$E^2_{4,0}=H^4(B,\mathbb{Z}_l),\ E^2_{3,1}=H^3(B,0)=0,$$

$$E^2_{2,2}=H^2(B,\kappa_*(\mathbb{Z}_l))=H^2(K,\mathbb{Z}_l)=\mathbb{Z}_l,\text{ and }E^2_{1,3}=H^1(B,0)=0.$$

By the above the groups $E^2_{2,1}, E^3_{1,2}, E^4_{0,3}$ are all zero. Therefore the images of the boundary maps $d^2_{2,1}: E^2_{2,1}\to E^2_{4,0}, d^3_{1,2}: E^3_{1,2}\to E^3_{4,0}, d^4_{0,3}: E^4_{0,3}\to E^4_{4,0}$ are also zero. So $E^\infty_{4,0}$ is the subgroup of $E^2_{4,0}$ and hence torsion-free. Because $E^2_{0,3}$ is also zero, for the same reason we get that $E^\infty_{2,2}$ is the subgroup of $E^2_{2,2}$ and hence torsion-free, too. Clearly $E^2_{0,4}=H^0(B,R^4\phi_*(\mathbb{Z}_l))$ is torsion-free, so its subgroup $E^\infty_{0,4}$ is also torsion-free. We get that $H^4(A,\mathbb{Z}_l)$ has a filtration by torsion-free groups, so it is torsion-free, too. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $\phi: Y_0\to Y_1$ be the blow-up of the inverse image $\widetilde{W}\subset Y_1$ of W with respect to the blow-up map $\sigma: Y_1\to Y$ of the proof of Proposition 6.3. Let $\psi: X\to Y_0$ be the blow-up of the proper transform $\widetilde{Z}\subset Y_0$ of the closed subscheme $Z\subset Y$ inside Y_0. By Propositions 6.3 and 6.5 it will be enough to show that both $\overline{\phi}_0$ and $\overline{\phi}_1$ are q-maps. Let $\tau: T\to\mathbb{P}^2_k$ be a $\mathbb{P}^4$-bundle and let $\iota: Y\to T$ be a closed immersion of $\mathbb{P}^2_k$-schemes such that $\rho=\tau\circ\iota$ as in the proof of Lemma 6.1, and whose description was given at the beginning of the fourth section. Let $\alpha: T_0\to T$ be the blow-up the disjoint closed subschemes $\iota(W)\subset T$ and the isolated singularities of $\iota(Y_{xy})$ inside T. Then there is a closed immersion $\iota_0: Y_0\to T_0$ of $\mathbb{P}^2_k$-schemes such that $\alpha\circ\iota_0=\iota\circ\sigma\circ\phi$. Let $\beta: S\to T_0$ be the blow-up of the proper transform $\widehat{Z}\subset Y_0$ of the closed subscheme $\iota(Z)\subset T$. There is a closed immersion $\kappa: X\to S$ of $\mathbb{P}^2_k$-schemes such that $\beta\circ\kappa=\iota_0\circ\psi$. We have the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\phi^{-1}(W) \arrow[r, "\iota_0"] \arrow[d, "\phi"'] & \alpha^{-1}(\iota(W)) \arrow[d, "\alpha"'] & \psi^{-1}(\widetilde{Z}) \arrow[r, "\kappa"] \arrow[d, "\psi"'] & \beta^{-1}(\widehat{Z}) \arrow[d, "\beta"'] \\
\widetilde{W} \arrow[r, "\iota\circ\sigma"] & \iota(W) & \widetilde{Z} \arrow[r, "\iota_0"] & \widehat{Z}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The upper horizontal maps are closed immersions, the lower horizontal maps are isomorphisms, while the both right vertical maps are $\mathbb{P}^4$-bundles. Since the irreducible components of the base change of $\widetilde{W}$ and $\widetilde{Z}$ to $\overline{k}$ are projective lines, it will be enough to show that the geometric fibres of the maps $\phi:\phi^{-1}(W)\to W$ and $\psi:\psi^{-1}(\widetilde{Z})\to\widetilde{Z}$ are defined by quadratic equations inside the families $\alpha:\alpha^{-1}(\iota(W))\to\iota(W)$ and $\beta:\beta^{-1}(\widehat{Z})\to\widehat{Z}$, respectively.

We will first describe the family over $\widetilde{W}$. We are going to use the notation of the proof of Lemma 4.3. Let $[r_0:r_1]$ be the homogeneous coordinates for $\widetilde{W}\cong\mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{k}}$. The family $\phi:\phi^{-1}(W)\to W$ is given by the equations:

$$r_1v_1v_2+y_0^2+y_1^2+y_4^2=0,$$

$$r_0v_1v_2+y_0^2+y_1^2+y_4^2=0,$$

on the open subschemes $\{r_0\neq 0\}$ and $\{r_1\neq 0\}$, respectively. According to the computations of Lemma 4.2 for every $p\in\widetilde{Z}$ the fibre $\psi^{-1}(p)$ is given by the equation

$$s_0v_1+y_0^2+y_1^2+y_4^2=0,$$

$$s_0v_1+y_0^2+y_1^2=0,$$

when $\phi(\rho(p))\in V_{xy}-\{[0:0:1]\}-\bigcup_{l\in K}l$ and when $\phi(\rho(p))\in V_{xy}\cap\bigcup_{l\in K}l$, respectively. Similarly for every $p\in\widetilde{Z}$ such that $\phi(\rho(p))=[0:0:1]$ the fibre

$\psi^{-1}(p)$ is given by the equation

$$s_1w_2 + z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_4^2 = 0$$

according to the computations of Lemma 4.3. □

We finish this paper by showing that there are smooth 5-dimensional projective rational varieties over every algebraically closed field of odd characteristic which have 2-torsion in its fourth dimensional étale cohomology. In the rest of the article let k denote an algebraically closed field of odd characteristic.

Lemma 6.6. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a smooth projective surface X over k such that the order of the 2-torsion in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is at least 2^n.*

Proof. Let S be a smooth projective variety over k such that the 2-torsion of $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is non-trivial. (For example we may take S to be the hyperelliptic surface $E \times E/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ where E is an elliptic curve and the generator of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts on $E \times E$ by translation by a non-zero 2-torsion element on the first factor and by multiplication by -1 on the second factor.) Let S^n denote the n-fold self-product of S. By the Künneth formula the order of the 2-torsion in $H^2(S^n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is at least 2^n. By the Lefschetz hyperplane section theorem there is a smooth projective surface $X \subseteq S^n$ such that the pull-back map $H^2(S^n, \mathbb{Z}_2) \to H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is injective. The claim is now clear. □

Proposition 6.7. *There is a smooth 5-dimensional projective rational variety Y over k such that the order of the 2-torsion in $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is non-zero.*

Proof. Let X be a smooth projective surface over k such that the order of the 2-torsion in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is at least 4. As it is very well-known there is a closed imbedding $i : X \to \mathbb{P}^5_k$. Let Y be the variety which we get by blowing up $i(X)$ inside $\mathbb{P}^5_k$ and let $j : Y \to \mathbb{P}^5_k$ be the blow-up map. Then $j : j^{-1}(i(X)) \to i(X)$ is a $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle. Because the fibres of j are connected we have $R^0j_*(\mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ by the proper base change theorem. Moreover the sheaf $R^ij_*(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is zero when $i = 1, 3$, or at least 5, again by the proper base change theorem. For $i = 2$ or 4 there is a lisse sheaf $\mathcal{F}_i$ of rank one on X such that $R^ij_*(\mathbb{Z}_2) \cong i_*(\mathcal{F}_i)$. The chern class of the canonical bundle of the fibres of the map $j : j^{-1}(i(X)) \to i(X)$ furnishes a non-zero section of $\mathcal{F}_2$ and hence $\mathcal{F}_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. The cup-product of this section with itself gives a a non-zero section of $\mathcal{F}_4$ and hence $\mathcal{F}_4 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, too. Therefore $R^2j_*(\mathbb{Z}_2) \cong R^4j_*(\mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. There is a Leray-Serre spectral sequence:

$$E^2_{p,q} = H^p(\mathbb{P}^5_k, R^qj_*(\mathbb{Z}_2)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(Y, \mathbb{Z}_2).$$

By the above

$$E^2_{4,0} = H^4(\mathbb{P}^5_k, \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2,\ E^2_{3,1} = H^3(\mathbb{P}^5_k, 0) = 0,$$

$$E^2_{2,2} = H^2(\mathbb{P}^5_k, i_*(\mathbb{Z}_2)) = H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_2),\ E^2_{1,3} = H^1(\mathbb{P}^5_k, 0) = 0,\ \text{and}$$

$$E^2_{4,0} = H^4(\mathbb{P}^5_k, i_*(\mathbb{Z}_2)) = H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Since the groups $E^2_{0,3}, E^2_{4,1}, E^3_{5,0}$ are zero, the boundary maps $d^2_{2,1} : E^2_{0,3} \to E^2_{2,2}, d^2_{2,2} : E^2_{2,2} \to E^2_{4,1}, d^3_{2,2} : E^3_{2,2} \to E^0_{5,0}$ are zero maps, and hence $E^\infty_{4,0} \cong E^2_{4,0}$. Similarly $E^2_{2,1}, E^3_{1,2}, E^4_{0,3}$ are zero, and hence $E^\infty_{4,0} \cong E^2_{4,0}$. Therefore there is a subgroup $G \leq H^4(Y, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ which sits in a short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_2) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since there are at least two linearly independent 2-torsion elements in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, the group G has non-trivial 2-torsion. □

References

[1] J. Arason, *Kohomologische Invarianten quadratischer Formen*, J. Algebra **36** (1975), 448–491.

[2] M. Artin and D. Mumford, *Some elementary examples of unirational varieties which are not rational*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society (3), **25** (1972), 75-95.

[3] J.–L. Colliot-Thélène and B. Kahn, *Cycles de codimension 2 et H^3 non-ramifié pour les variétés sur les corps finis*, preprint, (2011).

[4] J.–L. Colliot-Thélène and M. Ojanguren, *Variétés unirationnelles non rationnelles: au-delà de l'exemple d'Artin et Mumford*, Invent. Math. **97** (1989), 141-158.

[5] J.–L. Colliot-Thélène, J.-J. Sansuc and C. Soulé, *Torsion dans le groupe de Chow de codimension deux*, Duke Math. J. 50 (1983), 763-801.

[6] J.–L. Colliot-Thélène and T. Szamuely, *Autour de la conjecture de Tate à coefficients $\mathbb{Z}_l$ pour les variétés sur les corps finis*, in: R. Akhtar, et al. (Eds.), The Geometry of Algebraic Cycles, AMS/Clay Institute Proceedings, 2010, pp. 83–98.

[7] B. Kahn, M. Rost, and R. Sujatha, *Unramified cohomology of quadrics. I.*, Amer. J. Math. **120** (1998), 841-891.

[8] M. Kerz and S. Saito, *Cohomological Hasse principle and motivic cohomology for arithmetic schemes*, arXiv :1010.5930v1.

[9] A. Pirutka, *Sur le groupe de Chow de codimension deux des variétés sur les corps finis*, Algebra and Number Theory, to appear, (2011).

[10] Gereon Quick, *Torsion algebraic cycles and étale cobordism*, Adv. Math. **227** (2011), 962-985.

[11] M. Reid, *The complete intersection of two or more quadrics*, thesis, University of Cambridge, 1972.

[12] B. Totaro, *Torsion algebraic cycles and complex cobordism*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1997), 467–493.

Department of Mathematics, 180 Queen's Gate, Imperial College, London, SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom

E-mail address: `a.pal@imperial.ac.uk`